

Method for Evaluating the Quality of Cybersecurity Defenses

Shawn C. Whetstone Vikram Kulkarni

March 21, 2018

The Analytical Approach is Built from Data-Based Evaluations to Assess Cyber Effects on Operational Missions





Attacker as Part of the Test Team Enables Insights on Actions Taken Against Individual Systems





Defensive Strategy - Take Advantage of Easier-to-Detect Adversary Actions



Attackers Also Provide Insights on How Individual Actions Link in Attack Threads





Evaluation Approach Considers Logically Completed Cyber Attacks

Attack threads: a logically connected series of adversarial activities starting at ingress and ending with

An effect on mission data or services





Conceptual Viewpoint for Cyber Attack Threads



A series of checkpoints and actions that each provide an opportunity for defense



and through which the adversary progresses using authenticated or unauthenticated means with native or foreign tools



Example Attack Thread



Access 1 – Workstation 1 Soot from Kali Linux DVD

O Replace system files



💥 Access 2 – Workstation 1

- Reboot to Windows gaining SYSTEM command prompt
- O Query Domain for usernames, groups, privileges, file shares finding local administrator username



Access 3 – Workstation 2

- Login as local administrator to workstation using guessed keyboard walk password
- Notice a domain administrator also is logged in, capture clear-text credentials from memory



Access 4 – Domain Controller

- O Login to domain controller using domain administrator credentials
- Acquire and crack hashes for users, administrators throughout domain



Access 5 – Web Server

O Login to web server using cracked credentials

x = -

O Download and exfiltrate mission-critical documents





 $=\frac{2}{5}=0.4$

 $\frac{unauthenticated\ access\ attempts}{total\ access\ attempts}$

 $y = \frac{\# foreign \ tools \ used}{\# \ total \ tools \ used} = \frac{3}{10} = 0.3$

Analytical Approach is Conditional Probability to Detect using Two-Factor Logistic Regression

Binary Response: Detected/Undetected Continuous Factor: Fraction of Unauthenticated Accesses in Thread Continuous Factor: Fraction of Foreign Tools Used in Thread

$$P(Detect|x, y) = \frac{e^{f(x, y)}}{1 + e^{f(x, y)}}$$
Conditional Probability to Detect
$$f(x, y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y + \beta_{1,2} (x - \bar{x}) * (y - \bar{y})$$

Linear Terms
Interaction Term
$$x = \frac{unauthenticated \ access \ attempts}{total \ access \ attempts}$$
$$y = \frac{\# \ foreign \ tools \ used}{\# \ total \ tools \ used}$$

(for each thread)



Two-Factor Model Provides Insights on Detection Performance

Probability of Detection 1.0 1.0 Perceived **Regions of Perception** as Outsider "Safe" Operating Space for Fraction of Foreign Tool Usage Attacker 0.5 0.5 Modify Attacker Options or Behavior Perceived as Insider 0 0.5 1.0 0

Fraction of Unauthenticated Access



Two-Factor Model Provides Insights on Detection Performance

Probability of Detection 1.0 1.0 **Regions of Perception** Perceived as Outsider "Safe" Operating Space for Fraction of Foreign Tool Usage Attacker 0.5 0.5 Modify Attacker Options or Behavior Improve Defenses to Shrink Perceived Safe Operating Space as Insider 0 0.5 1.0 \cap

Fraction of Unauthenticated Access



Method of Simple Two-Factor Model Proved Useful in Analysis of Cyber Attacks in Assessments

Insights from Analytical Approach

- Confirmed that detection improving but not perfect
- Mapped detection strengths and weaknesses
- Insights into areas and specific actions to force behavior changes
- Revealed anomalous performance region and cause

Future Efforts

- Explore performance effects from actions to force behavior changes and improve detection
- Expand to additional factors and responses
- Explore implications for test procedures and designs

